

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patont and Tradomark Offico Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspic.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/481,126	01/11/2000	Douglas R. Elliott	TEQ11117002	5216
32233 7	590 10/29/2002			
	EMINGWAY, L.L.P	EXAMINER		
8117 PRESTON RD. STE. 460			DASS, HARISH T	
DALLAS, TX 75225		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3628	
		DATE MAILED: 10/29/2002		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	,	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	,	09/481,126	ELLIOTT, DOUGLAS R.
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
		Harish T Dass	3628
	The MAILING DATE of this communication ap		
Period fo			
THE I - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failu - Any r	DRTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPI MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. issions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a rej period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perioc re to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu- eply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin d patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may oly within the statutory minimum of will apply and will expire SIX (6) M e, cause the application to become	a reply be timely filed thirty (30) days will be considered timely. ONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 11	January 2000 .	
2a)□	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ T	his action is non-final.	
3)	Since this application is in condition for allow	ance except for formal r	natters, prosecution as to the merits is
Dispositi	closed in accordance with the practice under on of Claims	Ex parte Quayle, 1935	C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.
	Claim(s) 1-3 is/are pending in the application		
W.	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	wn from consideration.	
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.		
	Claim(s) <u>1-3</u> is/are rejected.		
	Claim(s) is/are objected to.		
	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/ on Papers	or election requirement.	
9)[	The specification is objected to by the Examin	er.	
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ acce	epted or b) objected to b	y the Examiner.
	Applicant may not request that any objection to t	ne drawing(s) be held in ab	eyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
11) 🔲 -	The proposed drawing correction filed on	_ is: a)□ approved b)□	disapproved by the Examiner.
	If approved, corrected drawings are required in re	eply to this Office action.	
12)	Γhe oath or declaration is objected to by the Ε	xaminer.	
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120		
13)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig	n priority under 35 U.S.0	C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
a)[	☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:		
	1. Certified copies of the priority documen	ts have been received.	
	2. Certified copies of the priority documen		
* S	3. Copies of the certified copies of the privapplication from the International B see the attached detailed Office action for a list	ureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)	).
14) 🗆 A	cknowledgment is made of a claim for domes	tic priority under 35 U.S.	C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application)
_a	)  The translation of the foreign language packnowledgment is made of a claim for domes	ovisional application has	been received.
Attachmen	· ·		
1) Notice	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice	ow Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
S. Patent and T		Action Summary	Part of Paper No. 9

Art Unit: 3628

### **DETAILED ACTION**

Applicant is required under 37 CFR ' 1.111 (c) to consider the references fully when responding to this action.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

1. 35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

Claims 2-3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter, particularly, an abstract idea.

The Examiner notes that the disclosed invention is within the technological arts. The claimed invention is also noted not to be a computer program, data structure, a natural phenomenon, a nondescriptive material per se. The claimed invention does not include a series of steps to be performed by a computer. The claimed invention also is not a product for performing a process, nor is it a specific machine or manufacture. The claimed invention is not a specific tangible machine or process for facilitating a business transaction. Claims 2-3 do not appear to correspond to a specific machine or manufacture disclosed within the instant specification and thus encompass any product of the class configured in any manner to perform the underlying process. Claims 2-3 do not appear to correspond to a specific machine or manufacture, and thus encompass any product of the class configured in any manner to perform the underlying process.

The claimed invention of claims 2-3 also do not include a post-computer process activity

Art Unit: 3628

or a pre-computer process activity. Thus, no physical transformation is performed, no practical application in the technological art is found. Consequently, claims 2-3 are analyzed based upon the underlying process, and are thus rejected as being directed to a non-statutory process.

See State Street Bank & Trust Co. V. Signature Financial Group Inc., 47 USPQ2d 1597 (Fed. Cir. 1998) where the Federal Circuit held that: "[T]he transformation of data, representing discrete dollar amounts, by a machine through a series of mathematical calculations into a final share price, constitutes a practical application of a mathematical algorithm, formula, or calculation, because it provides "a useful, concrete and tangible result".

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Web site www.howstuffworks.com/question398.htm, Howstuffworks.com, Inc. in view of Intellectual Property Technology Exchange (IPTEX) and further in view of R. Mansini, MG. Speranza, Selection of lease contracts in an asset-back securitization.

Art Unit: 3628

Regarding claims 1-3, Howstuffworks – discloses a venture capital funding where the money is gathered from pool of individuals to fund all sort of businesses and creates investment fund profiles where the investors invest in selected fund profiles, he/she like, by: defining a plurality of investor accounts, obtaining an initial amount from each of a plurality of investors, and associating said initial amount from each of said plurality of investors with a respective one of said plurality of investor accounts (Howstuffworks page 2 L1-51).

Howstuffworks does not disclose: identifying the initial ownership of a patent, paying an amount to said initial ownership of said patent upon the transfer of title to said patent to a subsequent owner, obtaining at least one payment from said initial ownership of said patent, and, allocating said at least one payment from said initial ownership to such respective one of said plurality of investor accounts.

However, IPTEX – discloses complete invention descriptions of new technologies by identifying the initial ownership of a patent. See reference IPTEX Pages 2-5.

Furthermore, Mansini et al, discloses a asset-back securities where the seller receives funds and the purchaser is paid back in terms of pools of credits associated with lease contract by: obtaining at least one payment from said initial ownership of said patent, and, allocating said at least one payment from said initial ownership to such respective one of said plurality of investor accounts. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the Applicant's invention was made to modify the disclosure of Howstuffworks and include disclosure of IPTEX and Mansini et al and provide brokerage service for exchange of IP. Additionally, it is obvious that: an investor opens

Art Unit: 3628

an account by initially depositing funds to his/her account; and in real estate exchange when a property is sold the title or legal ownership document is transferred to new owner. The applicant's page 1 lines 24-32 provides a example of real estate (patent) which is purchased in form of mortgage (intellectual property) where the mortgage is sold back to other institutions or leased back (licensed) to original owner and real estate financing and investment and venture capital have been around for some time. The motivation for this modification is a brokerage entity (mortgage broker) that prefers to trade in recent product intellectual property technology (type of mortgage) that is an essence of free market and capitalism.

### Conclusion

- 3. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Applicant is required under 37 CFR ' 1.111 (c) to consider the references fully when responding to this action.
- i). Law Inc. Business and Technology; The Recorder, Thursday, May 20, 1999 (total of 1 page)
- ii). TECHNOLOGY ACCESS Products & Service;www.techacess.com/pages/prodserv.html (total of 3 pages)

Art Unit: 3628

iii). PLX Announces Plans to Revolutionize \$3.5 Billion Patent Industry Through Global, Internet-based Patent Auction Market – Anaheim, Calif.; January 22, 1999; (total of 3 pages)

- iv). Managing the financial uncertainties of technology transfer; Nir Kossovsky and Bear Brandegee CHEMTECH; Vol. 27, Num 11, Page 44-45 (total of 3 pages)
- v). What Are Mortgage Securities? www.investingbonds.com/info/igmbs/what.htlm (total of 2 pages)
- vi). What Types Are Available? www.investingbonds.com/info/igmbs/types.htlm (total of 7 pages)

US Patent 5,950,175 to Austin, September 7, 1999 "System for managing real estate SWAP accounts".

US Patent 5,680,305 to Apgar, October 21, 1997 "System and method for evaluating real estate"

Howstuffworks – How does venture capital work? – www.howstuffworks.com/question398.htm (total of 5 pages)

Page 7

Application/Control Number: 09/481,126

Art Unit: 3628

Intellectual Property Technology Exchange – www.iptex.com (total of 21 pages)

Abstract – R. Mansini, M.G Speranza – Selection of lease contracts in an asset-backed securitization: a real case analysis (total of 1 page)

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Harish T Dass whose telephone number is 703-305-4694. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00 AM to 4:50 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Vincent Millin can be reached on 703-308-1065. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-305-7687 for regular communications and 703-746-7238 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-1113.

Harish T Dass ATTE Examiner Art Unit 3628 FRANTZY POINVIL
PRIMARY EXAMINER

October 21, 2002